

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/507,143	09/09/2004	Harald Breivik	01702.403100.	9090	
5514 FITZPATRIC	7590 04/26/201 K CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue o	of the Americas	DEES, NIKKI H			
NEW YORK,	NY 10104-3800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1781			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/26/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/507,143	BREIVIK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nikki H. Dees	1781	

	Nikki H. Dees	1781					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appending for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (I box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	F '41 07 OFD 44 07	11 and 11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 004)				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) uil	be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-17 and 21-26.							
Claim(s) rejected: 7-17 and 21-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
12. Note the attached information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SD/U6) Paper No(s).						
/Keith D. Hendricks/	/Nikki H. Dees/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781	Examiner, Art Unit 1781						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 7 requiring the degradation of the food to be measured by the presence of free radicals would require additional search and consideration as the measurement of free radicals was not previously required.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the amended claims. As these claims have not been entered, the arguments are not persuasive to overcome the previously presented rejections.

Further, the submitted claims introduce new limitations that are not supported by Applicant's specification. The newly amended claims require the ongoing oxidation of the feed to be measured by the production of free radicals. The only measurements of free radicals taught in Applicant's specification are for samples before and after conditions simulating extrusion. There is no measurement of free radicals in the feed to show reduced degradation through "ongoing oxidation". Two data points, showing free radical values before and after conditions simulatine extrusion, is not considered to be ongoing.